

Staff Response to June 2009 Taskforce Comments

On Proposed Changes to Current General Plan Street Network

** indicate change since June 2009 Taskforce meeting*

Group 1 Actions - Reconcile with Existing

#	Street	From	To	Lanes			TF Comments	Staff Review/Action
				GP2020	Existing	GP2040		
	King	San Antonio	Alum Rock	4	4	4	Consider 2 lanes	Analyze as 4 lanes and review LOS and ROW impacts
	King	San Antonio	Capitol Expwy	4	4	4	Consider 2 lanes	No change to GP2020 for freeway access and LOS
58	San Pedro	Hedding	Mission	4	2	2	Consider 4 lanes	Analyze as 2 lanes and review LOS and ROW impact in ESJ2040 traffic study
	San Pedro	Mission	Taylor	4	4	4	Consider 2 lanes	No change to GP2020 for freeway access and LOS
	Spring			--	--	--	Delist from GP	Confirmed non-GP street
	Auzerais			2	2	2	Consider 2 lanes	Confirmed 2 lanes in GP2020
	Alma/Minnesota	Bird	Hicks	4	4	4	Consider 2 lanes	No change to GP2020 for freeway access and LOS
	River			--	--	--	Delist from GP	Confirmed non-GP street

Group 2 Actions - Reconfirm Recent Policy

#	Street	From	To	Lanes			TF Comments	Staff Review/Action
				GP2020	Existing	GP2040		
89	St. John	Autumn	Montgomery	4	2	--	Consider 2 lanes under 87 freeway	Keep recommendation to delist from GP street network and effectively keep as a two lane roadway
94	10th	Keyes	Santa Clara	--	2/3	2 *	Typo in GP2040 recommendation	Correct number of lane for one way street. Apply same changes to all other couplet listings
95	11th	Keyes	Santa Clara	--	3	2 *	Typo in GP2040 recommendation	Correct number of lane for one way street. Apply same changes to all other couplet listings

Group 3 Actions - Accommodate Multimodal Streets

#	Street	From	To	Lanes			TF Comments	Staff Review/Action
				GP2020	Existing	GP2040		
106	Alma	Lelong	Senter	4	4	2	Consider 4 lanes to maintain LOS	Keep recommendation, downgrade required for primary bike corridor
108	Fruitdale	Bascom	Southwest Expwy	4	4	2	Consider 4 lanes to maintain LOS	Analyze as 2 lanes and defer final recommendation to ESJ2040 traffic study
115	Winchester	Magliocco	Hamilton	6	5/6	4	Consider 6 lanes for LOS	Analyze as 4 lanes and review LOS and ROW impact in ESJ2040 traffic study
	Lincoln			4	4	4	Consider 3 lanes multimodal use	No change to GP2020, reviewed in previous studies, requires more detailed analysis and should be studied outside of Envision San Jose process.

Staff Response to June 2009 Taskforce Comments

On Proposed Changes to Current General Plan Street Network

Group 4 Actions - Expand Street Capacity

#	Street	From	To	Lanes			TF Comments	Staff Review/Action
				GP2020	Existing	GP2040		
120	Charcot	Junction	Zanker	4	2	4	Consider 2 lanes	Keep recommendation, reviewed in North San Jose Plan
123	Curtner	SR87	Little Orchard *	6	4	6	Segment limits confusing	Keep recommendation, adjust segment limits
130	San Carlos	I880	Bascom	6	4	6	Consider 4 lanes	Keep recommendation for 280/880/Stevens Creek interchange and LOS
131	Santa Teresa	Bayliss	Laguna	6	2/4	4 *	Consider downgrade	4 lanes in GP2040 to be consistent with North Coyote Valley campus industrial development
132	Santa Teresa	Laguna	City boundary	4	2	2 *	Consider downgrade	Downgrade will be considered
135	Senter	Balfour *	Dadis Way *	6	4/6	6	Segment limits confusing	Keep recommendation, adjust segment limits, 500 feet gap closure
138	Snell	Blossom Hill	Branham	6	4	6	Consider 4 lanes	Keep recommendation to mitigate lost capacity resulted from delisting vista park between Blossom Hill and Branham
139	Tully	Monterey	Tenth	6	4/5	6	Request further review	Keep recommendation, gap closure
141	unnamed street in Alviso	Nortech Pkway	Los Estreros Rd	--	0	-- *	Drop to keep traffic off Alviso neighborhood	Drop from ESJ2040. Will be studied as part of and future Alviso development plans
142	White	Marten	Quimby	6	5/6	6	Consider for downgrade	Keep recommendation to support traffic circulation in Evergreen Area
144	Zanker	SR237	Dixon Landing	2	0/2	-- *	Drop to keep traffic off Alviso neighborhood	Drop from ESJ2040, to be studied in water pollution control plant master plan